Program-Level SLO Matrix – Academic Year 2019-20 (Business Emphasis)

Identify Each Intended Outcome	Identify the Assessment Tool	Assessment Results: Total Number of Students Observed	Assessment Results: Total Number of Students Meeting Expectation	Assessment Results: Percentage of Students Meeting Expectation	Assessment Results: 1. Does not meet expectation 2. Meets expectation 3. Exceeds expectation 4. Insufficient data
The student will ap	ply principles o	f written communi	cation applicable to the S _l	port Profession.	
90% of the students will score 80% or higher demonstrating principles of written communication in the Sport Profession.	SPTM Written Communication Rubric	16	Written Communication >80 13 students <80 3 students	Thirteen (13) of the 16 (81%) Business emphasis majors surpassed the benchmark.	Meets Expectation
The student will co	mmunicate effe	ctively when prese	enting to an audience with	in the Sport Profession	on.
The student will score 37 or higher (85%) communicating effectively when presenting applicable to the Sport Profession.	SPTM Presentation Rubric	10	Student Presentation >37 8 students <37 2 student	Eight (8) of the 10 (80%) Business emphasis majors surpassed the benchmark.	Does not meet Expectation
	ply principles o	f critical thinking a	and research applicable to	the Sport Profession	l.
The student will score 80% or higher applying critical thinking and research applicable to the Sport Profession.	SPTM Research Project Rubric	14	Research Project Scores 90-100 4 students 80-89 9 students 0-79 1 students	Thirteen (13) of the 14 (69%) Business Emphasis students surpassed the benchmark.	Does not meet expectation

The student will us Sport Profession. (of one who is prepared to e	nter the workforce w	ithin the
The student will score an average of 4.5 or higher demonstrating preparedness for the Sport Profession.	Internship Final Business Evaluations	15	Internship Eval Averages Score Tally > 4.5 11 students < 4.5 4 students	Eleven (11) of the 15 (73.3%) Business Emphasis majors surpassed the benchmark.	Does not meet expectation
The students collectively will meet or exceed the expectation scoring less than 20 demonstrating preparedness for the sport profession.	SPTM Gameday Operations Evaluation.	83	83 Score Students <20 83 AVG – 15.8	All 83 students scored less than 20 (avg 15.8) surpassing the benchmark.	Exceeds expectation (Note – this measure was not segregated by emphasis)
The student will de	velop and grow	in their Christia	n Worldview while studying	Sport Management.	
The student will self-report an average of 4.0 or higher indicating growth in their Christian Worldview while studying Sport Management.	SPTM Christian Worldview Survey	15	15	All fifteen of the 15 Business Emphasis students surpassed the benchmark.	Exceeds Expectation

Program-Level SLO Matrix – Academic Year 2019-20 (Coaching Emphasis)

Identify Each Intended Outcome	Identify the Assessment Tool	Assessment Results: Total Number of Students Observed	Assessment Results: Total Number of Students Meeting Expectation	Assessment Results: Percentage of Students Meeting Expectation	Assessment Result: 1. Does not meet expectation 2. Meets expectation 3. Exceeds expectation 4. Insufficient data
The student will app	oly principles of w	vritten communic	ation applicable to the Sport	Profession.	
90% of the students will score 80% or higher demonstrating principles of written communication in the Sport Profession.	SPTM Written Communication Rubric	5	Written Communication >80 4 students <80 1 students	Four (4) of the 5 (80%) Coaching emphasis majors surpassed the benchmark.	Meets Expectations
The student will con	nmunicate effecti	volv when prese	nting to an audience within t	na Snort Profession	
The student will score 37 or higher (85%) communicating effectively when presenting applicable to the Sport Profession.	SPTM Presentation	4	4 Student Presentation >37 4 students	Four (4) of the 4 (100%) Coaching emphasis majors surpassed the benchmark.	Exceeds Expectation
PTOTESSIOTI.					
The student will app	ly principles of c	ritical thinking ar	nd research applicable to the	Sport Profession.	
The student will score 30% or higher applying critical thinking and research applicable to the Sport Profession.	SPTM Research Project Rubric	6	Research Project Scores 80-100 6 students < 80 0 students	Six (6) of the 6 (100%) Coaching Emphasis majors surpassed the benchmark.	Exceeds Expectation

The student will score an average of 4.5 or higher demonstrating preparedness for the Sport Profession.	Internship Mid- Term & Final Coaching Evaluations	6	Internship Eval Averages Score Tally > 4.5 6 students < 4.5 0 students	Six (6) of the 6 (100%) Coaching Emphasis majors surpassed the benchmark.	Exceeds Expectation
The students collectively will meet or exceed the expectation scoring less than 20 demonstrating preparedness for the sport profession.	SPTM Gameday Operations Evaluation.	83	83 Score Students <20 59 AVG 15.8	All 83 students scored less than 20 surpassing the benchmark.	Exceeds Expectation (Note – this measure was not segregated by emphasis)
The student will deve	lop and grow in	their Chris	stian Worldview while studying Sp	oort Management.	
The student will self-report an average of 4.0 or higher indicating growth in their Christian Worldview while studying Sport Management.	SPTM Christian Worldview Survey	6	6	Six (6) of the 6 Coaching Emphasis majors surpassed the benchmark.	Exceeds Expectation

Program-Level SLO Matrix – Academic Year 2019-20 (Ministry Emphasis)

Identify Each Iden Intended Outcome	ntify the Assessment Tool	Assessment Results: Total Number of Students Observed	Assessment Results: Total Number of Students Meeting Expectation	Assessment Results: Percentage of Students Meeting Expectation	Assessment Results: 1. Does not meet expectation 2. Meets expectation 3. Exceeds expectation 4. Insufficient data
The student will app	ply principles of	written communi	cation applicable to the Spo	rt Profession.	
90% of the students will score 80% or higher demonstrating principles of written communication in the Sport Profession.	SPTM Written Communication Rubric	2	Written Communication >80 2 students	Two (2) of the 2 (100%) Ministry emphasis majors surpassed the benchmark.	Exceeds Expectation
The student will score 37 or higher (85%) communicating effectively when presenting applicable to the Sport Profession.	SPTM Presentation Rubric	2	2 Student Presentation >37 2 students	Two (2) of the 2 (100%) Ministry emphasis majors surpassed the benchmark.	Exceeds Expectation
The student will app	oly principles of	critical thinking a	and research applicable to th	e Sport Profession.	
The student will score 80% or higher applying critical thinking and research applicable to the Sport Profession.	SPTM Research Project Rubric	2	Research Project Scores 80-100 2 students < 80 0 students	Two (2) of the 2 (100%) Ministry emphasis majors surpassed the benchmark.	Exceeds Expectation

The student will score an average of 4.5 or higher demonstrating preparedness for the Sport Profession.	Internship Mid- Term & Final Ministry Evaluations	2	Internship Eval Averages Score Tally > 4.5 2 students	Two (2) of the 2 (100%) Ministry emphasis majors surpassed the benchmark.	Exceeds Expectations
The students collectively will meet or exceed the expectation scoring less than 20 demonstrating preparedness for the sport profession.	SPTM Gameday Operations Evaluation.	83	83 Score Students <20 59 AVG 15.8	All 83 students scored less than 20 surpassing the benchmark.	Exceeds expectation (Note – this measure was not segregated by emphasis)

The Student will t	actorop and grown		n Worldview while studying Sp		
The student will self-report an average of 4.0 or higher indicating growth in their Christian Worldview while studying Sport Management.	SPTM Christian Worldview Survey	2	2	Two (2) of the 2 Coaching Emphasis majors surpassed the benchmark.	Exceeds Expectation

Conclusion of Previous Year's Use of Results

(Closing the Loop Narrative)

The Sport Management Department used the previous year's data (2018-2019) in order to accomplish the following:

COVID-19 Prevented some of the data from being collected, compared, and considered against previous results. However, use of previous data accomplished the following:

- **SLO #1** It was anticipated to review the newly developed **SPTM Written Communications Rubric** during the school year. However, due to COVID-19, this review has been postponed until 2020-2021.
- **SLO #2** The Dean previously recommended increased instruction on proper preparation for case study presentation, which was discussed at the previous CWSP Leadership Retreat and further taught to students in the Sport Marketing course FA19 and SP20.
- **SLO #3** Adjusting the assignment in the Seminar in Sport Management course and also providing better explanation of the SPTM Research Project Rubric has been found to be more helpful to students.
- **SLO #4** The Dean and Athletic Administration Staff both reviewed the **SPTM Gameday Operations Evaluation** together. This review produced greater understanding to the value of this assessment to the SPTM Department. Coming out of this meeting, it is believed the athletic administrative staff, who conduct this assessment each term, will be better prepared to complete thorough assessments of the students in the practicum settings of athletics.
- **SLO #5** The **SPTM Christian Worldview Survey** was advanced and developed from a paper-pencil assessment, to a fully online Blackboard Survey. We have now begun to offer it entirely in Blackboard during the Internship Orientation (seniors).

Program-Level OEG Matrix – Academic Year 2019-2020

Identify Each Operational Effectiveness Goal and Measurement Tool(s)	Identify the Benchmark	Data Sumn	nary	Assess	ment Results	
The program will increase the number of	students declared	SPTM major	over	the acad	lemic year.	
The Department tracks total program enrollment each semester and in particular majors progressing through the program. Assessing increases in program enrollment is a combination of two measures: 1 - New freshman enrolled in SPTM 1310	The department will a majors.		decla	red Sport or during) students Management as the academic	Just missed Expectation
Introduction to Sport Management.				School Year	New Majors	
2 - Transfer students, all of whom personally				19-20	47	
meet with the Department Chair for				18-19	57	
scheduling purposes.				17-18	53	
				16-17	51	
				15-16	52	
				14-15	57	
				13-14	52	
				12-13	40	
				11-12	46	
				10-11	51	

Identify Each Operational Effectiveness Goal and Measurement Tool(s)	Identify the Da Benchmark	ata Summary	Assessment Res	sults	
The program will prepare students for g	raduate school.				T
The Department collects data annually on all recent graduates using email, Facebook, and other media concerning their pursuit of graduate education.	The Department anticipates of all graduates seeking continued education.	40.3% (incepti identific continu graduat addition	partment determine of all program grade on to present) were ed as having engaging education (large te school but also in hal certifications apport Profession). Continued ED 40.3% 39.4% 36.8% 40.9% 41% 41% 41% 40% 43%	uates ed in ely ncludes	Meets Expectation

Identify Each Operational Effectiveness Goal and Measurement Tool(s)	Identify the Benchmark	Data Summary	Assessment Results	
The Department will prepare graduates f	or placement in the	Sport Profession	l.	
The Department collects data annually on all recent graduates using email, Facebook, and other media concerning their pursuit of employment within the Sport Profession.	The Department antic placing 75% of all grathe Sport Profession.	duates into 85% were Sport 20: 20: 20: 20: 20: 20: 20: 20: 20: 20	Department determined of the program graduates identified as placed in the Profession. Year Placement 19-2020 85% 18-2019 84.2% 17-2018 85% 16-2017 82.9% 15-2016 81.8% 14-2015 72.7% 13-2014 74% 12-2013 72% 11-2012 71%	Meets Expectation.

Council for Higher Education Accreditation (CHEA)

PROGRAM INFORMATION PROFILE

This profile offers information about the performance of a program in the context of its basic purpose and key features.

Name of Institution

_ ...__

Institution: North Greenville University

Program Accreditor: **COSMA**

Institutional Accreditor: SACSCOC

Date of Next Comprehensive Program Accreditation Review: 2024

Date of Next Comprehensive Institutional Accreditation Review: 2019

To learn more about the accredited status of the program, click here:

Program Context and Mission

Program Mission: The Sport Management Department exists to serve the University as part of the College of Business & Sport Professions preparing men and women as professional sport leaders equipped as manager, coaches, and evangelists to take the Gospel of Jesus Christ into the Sport Profession.

Program Goals: Broad Based Goals

- 1. The student will acquire knowledge in specific core content areas including management, marketing, finance, communication, law/liability, and leadership applicable to the Sport Profession.
- 2. The student will advance in oral, written, and interpersonal communication skills applicable to the needs of the Sport Profession.
- 3. The student will demonstrate critical thinking skills applicable to the curriculum of the Sport Profession.
- 4. The student will acquire relevant experiences in which knowledge obtained in sport management and coaching coursework can be successfully applied to the Sport Profession.
- 5. The student will be able to integrate faith and a Christian Worldview applicable to all the areas of the Sport Profession.

Brief Description of Student Population: There are roughly 2400 students enrolled at the institution with campuses in Tigerville and Greer, SC. NGU is a Southern Baptist private evangelical Christian institution offering degrees at the Bachelors, Masters, and Doctoral levels. Students attend from all walks of life including a large international population from missionary families around the globe.

Admissions Requirements:

The NGU Admissions Department using the following three items as requirements for admission to the institution:

- 1. 1000 SAT or 20 ACT
- 2. GPA 3.0 (unweighted)
- 3. Rolling Admission applied and considered at any time of the year.

Indicators of Effectiveness with Undergraduates As Determined by the Program

Ι.	Graduation							
	Year: 2019-2020	# of Graduates: 19	Graduation Rate:					
2.	Completion of Educational G # of Students Surveyed:	`	,					
3.	Average Time to Certificate of	or Degree						
	1-Year Certificate:	2-Year Degree:	4-Year Degree:					
4.	Annual Transfer Activity							
	Year:	# of Transfers:	Transfer Rate:					
5.	Graduates Entering Graduate	Graduates Entering Graduate School						
	Year: 2019-2020	# of Graduates: 19	# Entering Graduate School: 10					
6.	Job Placement (if appropriate)							
	Year: 2019-2020	# of Graduates: 19	# Employed: 15					
7.	Licensure/Certification Exam	ination Results: NA						
8.	Additional Indicators, if any:							

Form developed by the Council for Higher Education Accreditation. @ updated 2015